

Machine learning for precision medicine in cancer: Transforming drug discovery and treatment

Sachin Kumar Deshmukh*

Mitchell Cancer Institute, University of
South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36604, USA

*Author for correspondence:
Email: skdeshmukh@health.
southalabama.edu

Received date: June 05, 2020
Accepted date: June 09, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Deshmukh SK. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords: Machine learning, Cancer, Precision medicine, Drug discovery, Artificial intelligence

Machine learning (ML) is a branch of artificial intelligence that uses an algorithm to process the data, retrieve valuable information, learn from it, find a pattern, and make predictions. Manual data analyses suffer from several disadvantages including it is time-consuming and subject to error. The ML tools can repeatedly perform the task with precision. In the age of value-based healthcare, big data, and computer-aided assistance, clinical intervention has become crucial for disease management. Cancer is a major health challenge and the second leading cause of death globally behind cardiovascular diseases [1]. According to a recent study, cancer surpasses cardiovascular disease as the leading cause of death in some high-income and middle-income countries [2]. Cancer is continuously exerting emotional and financial burdens on individuals and families. Even with scientific advancement and improved therapeutic approaches, cancer treatment is still a major challenge. Heterogeneous natures of tumors, compromised drug efficacy and intrinsic and/or acquired resistance developed by tumors attribute to poor clinical outcomes. Remarkable progress in our understanding of cancer biology and insights gained over the year indicate that personalized treatment or precision medicine could lead to better clinical outcomes. Molecular profiling of an individual tumor is crucial for the personalized (precision) medicine. In the analyses of tumor genomic information, ML-based approaches have emerged as a valuable tool. Starting from disease detection, target validation, and identification of prognostic biomarkers, ML approaches are helping in treatment decision making.

A literature survey or text-mining is a helpful tool to get an idea about the field that bridges the knowledge gap between free-text and, valuable information. It also helps in understanding the mechanism(s) of existing drugs and possible reasons behind their compromised efficacy. Cancer cells possess unique characteristics termed as hallmarks that indicate the complexities of neoplastic disease. The cancer hallmarks that differentiate tumor cells from normal cell includes sustaining proliferative signaling, evading growth suppressor, avoiding immune destruction, avoiding apoptosis, inducing tumor-promoting inflammation, activating invasion and metastasis, inducing angiogenesis, genome instability, and mutations, and deregulating cellular energetic [3]. A supervised ML-based approach was utilized to develop the Cancer Hallmarks Analytics Tool (CHAT) which retrieves, organizes thousands of articles and, evaluates the scientific literature on cancer [4,5]. The CHAT arranges the information into different categories that allow the reader to easily analyze and understand the association between the research question and the hallmarks of cancer. To understand the interaction between genes and protein, and the association between environment and cancer several other ML-based tools like OncoCL [6] and OncoSearch [7] were also been developed.

A case study performed to understand the drug mechanisms, ML approaches were able to identify crucial genes (1% of the genome) among the 23,398 genes of the human genome that were altered in triple-negative breast cancer cells treated with metformin [8]. ML-based unsupervised methods identified a cluster of single-cell subpopulations that have considerable differential gene expression which correlated with anticancer pathways. Interestingly, the downregulation of one gene (CDC42) inhibited cancer cell proliferation and motility, indicating the potential of ML to

Citation: Deshmukh SK. Machine learning for precision medicine in cancer: Transforming drug discovery and treatment. J Cancer Biol 2020; 1(1): 20-22.

identify biologically-relevant candidates [8]. Considering the large size of the human genome that requires resources, time, and cost for analyses, clustering important genes that can be a drug target with the help of ML-based algorithms can expedite the drug discovery program. Also, combinations of cancer drugs can lead to increased efficacy compared to single-drug treatments. Besides, the toxicity and adverse side effects due to off-target are also less as the doses of drug combinations are relatively lower. ML offers the possibility to explore the synergistic efficacies of drugs. The ML predictive models can be developed from high-throughput screening drug synergy data that provide an opportunity to validate them in *in vivo* settings. Several ML approaches including Random Forests and Naive Bayes methods are being utilized to predict the synergy between anti-cancer drugs which predict signaling pathways and targets [9,10].

The sequencing of the human genome has improved our understanding of the signaling pathways associated with human diseases. Genome sequencing and data analyses are critical for precision medicine that is a promising area of modern science. ML has been proved its efficacy in automated analysis of patient gene and protein data. Huang et al. developed an ML support vector machine algorithm combined with standard recursive feature elimination to predict precision medicine responses. The model was developed from gene expression profiles and drug response data of the National Cancer Institute panel of 60 human cancer cell lines [11]. The model demonstrated its efficacy in predicting the drug responsiveness of a variety of cancer cell lines. Clinical trials demand time and cost, thus predictive models are valuable resources. ML-based models help stratify patients, identify drug efficacy, and suggest the mechanisms of drug action.

Advancement in microscopy technology enabled the generation of a large amount of phenotypic data from the cells and tissue. Analyses of large, complex data demand automated and precise analytical strategies that can differentiate and pick altered cellular phenotype from the wild type phenotype. General statistical methods such as mean, mode, median often remain insufficient to illustrate the data complexity. Supervised or unsupervised ML strategies have shown their potential in analyzing the variations in phenotypic changes of single cells or populations of cells [12,13]. ML has been used for image-based genetic screening experiments to organize single cells based on their phenotypic profiles and biologically meaningful classes [14]. Kraus et al. used microscopy and automated image analysis with deep convolutional neural networks to differentiate *S. cerevisiae* proteins by their subcellular localization [15], suggesting that deep learning can be a handy tool for rapid data analyses.

A good amount of data coupled with algorithm validation is crucial for model performance and precise prediction. Availability of huge biological and medical data, along with sophisticated ML algorithms, the design of an automated drug development framework can now be envisioned. ML has demonstrated its potential in cancer risk prediction, cancer diagnosis, and predicting therapeutic outcomes. Also, ML methods have helped in identifying signaling pathways and targets critical for pharmacological modulation and drug development. ML-based approaches can successfully arrange genomic data of individual patient tumors in perspective and predict drug responses. The global healthcare community is continuously working to improve the ML performance by developing novel

algorithms and innovative strategies. However, the success of ML depends on reliable data from different healthcare streams including research laboratories, drugs and pharmaceutical companies, clinical trial companies, FDA, and others. Collaboration, cooperation, and coordination between these organizations will further push the ML field forward. In the past decade, ML has moved from theoretical studies to real-world applications. We are slowly moving towards the era of precision medicine and ML approached seems to speed up the drug development program.

References

1. Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A, et al. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet*. 2016 Oct 8;388(10053):1459-544.
2. Yusuf S, Joseph P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Mentz A, Hystad P, et al. Modifiable risk factors, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in 155 722 individuals from 21 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries (PURE): a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet*. 2020 Mar 7;395(10226):795-808.
3. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *cell*. 2011 Mar 4;144(5):646-74.
4. Baker S, Ali I, Silins I, Pyysalo S, Guo Y, Högborg J, et al. Cancer Hallmarks Analytics Tool (CHAT): a text mining approach to organize and evaluate scientific literature on cancer. *Bioinformatics*. 2017 Dec 15;33(24):3973-81.
5. Baker S, Silins I, Guo Y, Ali I, Högborg J, Stenius U, et al. Automatic semantic classification of scientific literature according to the hallmarks of cancer. *Bioinformatics*. 2016 Feb 1;32(3):432-40.
6. Dolan ME. Capturing cancer initiating events in OncoCL, a cancer cell ontology. *AMIA Summits on Translational Science Proceedings*. 2014;2014:41.
7. Lee HJ, Dang TC, Lee H, Park JC. OncoSearch: cancer gene search engine with literature evidence. *Nucleic acids Research*. 2014 Jul 1;42(W1):W416-21.
8. Athreya AP, Gaglio AJ, Cairns J, Kalari KR, Weinshilboum RM, Wang L, et al. Machine learning helps identify new drug mechanisms in triple-negative breast cancer. *IEEE Transactions on Nanobioscience*. 2018 Jul 2;17(3):251-9.
9. Li P, Huang C, Fu Y, Wang J, Wu Z, Ru J, et al. Large-scale exploration and analysis of drug combinations. *Bioinformatics*. 2015 Jun 15;31(12):2007-16.
10. Wildenhain J, Spitzer M, Dolma S, Jarvik N, White R, Roy M, et al. Prediction of synergism from chemical-genetic interactions by machine learning. *Cell Systems*. 2015 Dec 23;1(6):383-95.
11. Huang C, Mezencev R, McDonald JF, Vannberg F. Open source machine-learning algorithms for the prediction of optimal cancer drug therapies. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(10).
12. Grys BT, Lo DS, Sahin N, Kraus OZ, Morris Q, Boone C, et al. Machine learning and computer vision approaches for phenotypic profiling. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 2017 Jan 2;216(1):65-71.
13. Caicedo JC, Cooper S, Heigwer F, Warchal S, Qiu P, Molnar C, et al. Data-analysis strategies for image-based cell profiling. *Nature Methods*. 2017 Sep;14(9):849.
14. Bakal C, Aach J, Church G, Perrimon N. Quantitative morphological

signatures define local signaling networks regulating cell morphology. Science. 2007 Jun 22;316(5832):1753-6.

15. Kraus OZ, Grys BT, Ba J, Chong Y, Frey BJ, Boone C, et al. Automated analysis of high-content microscopy data with deep learning. Molecular Systems Biology. 2017 Apr 1;13(4).