

Sex differences in systemic lupus erythematosus: Epidemiology, clinical considerations, and disease pathogenesis

Armin Mahabadi, BS¹, Julie S Nusbaum, MD^{2*}

¹New York University Long Island School of Medicine, Mineola, NY, USA

²Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, New York University Long Island School of Medicine, Mineola, NY, USA

*Author for correspondence:
Email: julie.nusbaum@nyulangone.org

Received date: August 08, 2022
Accepted date: September 19, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Mahabadi A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Mahabadi A, Nusbaum JS. Sex differences in systemic lupus erythematosus: Epidemiology, clinical considerations, and disease pathogenesis. Curr Rheumatol Res. 2022;3(1):4-7.

Abstract

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic, multiorgan, systemic autoimmune disease that occurs more frequently in women than men and is typically diagnosed in women of reproductive age. Sex-based differences in the epidemiology, pathogenesis, and clinical presentation of SLE necessitate sex-specific considerations in clinical care. However, the biological mechanisms associated with these sex-specific differences are not fully understood. In this article, we describe recent findings related to sex-based differences in SLE pathogenesis and discuss relevant clinical considerations arising from these findings.

Introduction

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic, multiorgan, systemic autoimmune disease with a prevalence of 20 to 150 cases per 100,000 in the United States [1]. SLE is one of the most sex-differentiated autoimmune diseases and is nearly 9 times more common in women than men [2]. Because SLE is most frequently diagnosed in women of reproductive age, the disease presents medical and psychosocial challenges that can result in significant complications in pregnancy and family planning [3,4].

Major differences exist in the clinical presentation of SLE in males and females. Male patients are more likely to be diagnosed at an older age, and more likely to have renal involvement, hematological manifestations, and greater disease severity overall [5]. Our understanding of the biological mechanisms that result in sex-dependent differences in the clinical presentation and course of SLE continues to expand; however, there is still much that is not fully understood. Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression, micro RNA (miRNA) expression, and gut microbiota composition differ between males and females and have emerged as areas of interest in the study of sex differences in SLE [6]. Recent studies have continued to expand upon these theories and provide further insight into the pathogenesis of SLE and its clinical manifestations.

Toll-Like Receptors

Sex-specific differences in TLR expression have been hypothesized to contribute to the higher prevalence of SLE in females. TLRs play an important role in innate immunity as pattern-recognition receptors. In SLE, TLRs recognize endogenous nucleic acids within antibody complexes to induce production of type I interferons [7]. Type I interferons have been identified as an important mediator in SLE pathogenesis, with increased expression of interferon inducible genes and high levels of IFN- α being found in subsets of SLE patients [8]. In females, TLR7 and TLR8 have been shown to evade X-chromosome inactivation (XCI), resulting in increased expression of gene products [9,10]. Recent studies have focused on identifying specific mutations that lead to upregulation of TLR7 and production of IFN- α .

One of the mechanisms driving upregulation of TLR7 is increased expression of the cathepsin S (CTSS) gene. CTSS normally plays an important role in selecting peptides for antigen presentation and loading peptides onto major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules [11,12]. Prior studies have demonstrated that increased CTSS expression in dendritic cells (DCs) leads to the destruction of known T cell epitopes, resulting in autoreactive T cells that are able to escape

negative selection [13]. Previous studies have also identified that CTSS expression is mediated by the transcription factor, Blimp-1. Knockout of the gene encoding Blimp-1 led to overexpression of CTSS and T cell autoreactivity in female mice, but not in male mice [14]. These findings suggest a sex-related component in the overexpression of CTSS in autoimmunity. A recent study further explored the role of CTSS overexpression in SLE pathogenesis through upregulation of TLR7. Investigators observed the spontaneous generation of SLE symptoms and increased IFN- α levels in CTSS-overexpressing transgenic (CTSS-TG) mice. Using pristane to induce SLE symptoms in both the CTSS-TG group and wild type (WT) group, investigators found that the CTSS-TG mice experienced more severe SLE symptoms and greater production of IFN- α and other cytokines compared to the WT mice. Western blot analysis of the sample's spleens demonstrated increased TLR7 expression in the CTSS-TG mice compared to the WT group [15]. These findings reveal that CTSS overexpression is in itself sufficient to induce SLE pathogenesis. Furthermore, CTSS overexpression was shown for the first time to be involved in the upregulation of TLR7 and IFN- α .

Direct genetic mutation may also drive TLR upregulation. Until recently there has been limited evidence describing the role of specific TLR genetic variants in the pathogenesis of SLE, and no human SLE cases due to TLR7 variants had been previously reported. A recent study identified TLR7^{Y264H} as a specific missense variant resulting in a gain of function mutation in TLR7 [16]. The variant was identified through whole-genome sequencing of a girl diagnosed with SLE at 7 years-old. The variant had an increased affinity for endogenous ligands and was shown to be sufficient to cause SLE when introduced into mice. These findings are the first to provide evidence directly linking genetic mutation in TLR7 to the pathogenesis of SLE.

Anifrolumab

Furthering our understanding of sex-based differences in TLR and IFN- α expression may lead to better treatments and clinical outcomes for both male and female patients. The first drugs targeting this pathway have already begun to reach the market. Anifrolumab is an anti-type I IFN- α receptor 1 monoclonal antibody recently approved for the treatment of SLE. In early studies, anifrolumab demonstrated a significant reduction ($p=0.001$) in moderate-to-severe baseline disease activity in 47.8% of patients based on the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG)-based Composite Lupus Assessment (BICLA). By comparison only 31.5% of patients in the placebo group showed the same response [17]. When comparing treatment response in males and females, female patients treated with anifrolumab had a 17.7% greater BICLA response rate than females in the placebo group. By comparison, male patients treated with anifrolumab had a 5.0% greater BICLA response rate than males in the placebo group, though the number of male patients in the study was small (7% of the overall study population) [18]. Further studies are needed to determine if there are significant differences in anifrolumab response between males and females.

MicroRNAs

Sex-specific differences in miRNA expression may also contribute to the increased incidence of SLE in females. miRNAs are small-noncoding RNAs that play a vital role in gene regulation [19]. Several miRNAs have been shown to be differentially regulated by estrogen levels [20-23]. Recent studies have identified additional

miRNAs that may play a role in SLE pathogenesis. Most recently, one study identified increased expression of miR-21, miR-25, and miR-186 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of SLE patients. Increased expression of these miRNAs was positively correlated with SLE disease activity scores. Levels of miR-146a were also decreased in these same patients and were negatively correlated with estradiol levels and SLE disease activity scores [24]. These findings suggest that higher levels of estradiol may play a role in increased disease activity through modulation of certain miRNAs.

Other recent studies have found a positive correlation between expression of miR-181a and SLE disease activity and negative correlations between miR-223, miR-451a, and miR-125a and SLE disease activity [25-27]. These findings highlight the complex relationship between miRNA expression and SLE pathogenesis and may help identify future targets for therapeutic treatment. However, it still remains unclear whether sex-based differences in miRNA expression serve as a driving mechanism in SLE pathogenesis.

Understanding different miRNA expression patterns in male and female SLE patients may have a role in the diagnosis of preclinical SLE. Early diagnosis may enable clinicians to prevent disease progression and minimize certain organ manifestations. An analysis of 17 studies evaluated several miRNAs and found that miR-21 served as the best potential biomarker for diagnosis of SLE. miR-21 expression levels were 68% sensitive (95% CI, 62% to 74%) and 77% specific (95% CI, 69% to 84%) in diagnosing SLE [28]. However, miR-21 expression levels are known to be increased in several other autoimmune diseases; therefore, further studies are needed to determine if SLE patients have unique miRNA expression patterns that can be utilized for diagnosis [29].

Gut Microbiome

Sex-based differences in gut microbiota have recently emerged as another potential mechanism in SLE pathogenesis. The gut microbiome plays a key role in both the innate and adaptive immune systems, and gut microbiota dysbiosis may contribute to the pathogenesis of SLE and other autoimmune diseases [30-34]. It has also been hypothesized that the gut microbiota interacts with sex hormones to modulate autoimmune disease activity and progression [35-37]. Earlier studies have shown that early-life microbiome exposure plays a key role in determining sex hormone levels and modulating autoimmunity. One study utilized a nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse model to transfer gut microbiota from NOD adult male mice to NOD immature female mice and found an elevation in testosterone levels [38]. The transfer of gut microbiota to NOD females prior to disease onset was also found to protect against pancreatic islet inflammation, autoantibody production, and the development of type 1 diabetes. Blocking androgen receptor activity was found to reverse this protection, thus suggesting that the microbiome is able to regulate sex hormone levels and provide protection to autoimmunity through androgen production.

Recent studies have investigated the role of sex-based differences in gut microbiota on SLE pathogenesis and disease activity. One study examined the effects of gut microbiota depletion in both male and female mice and observed a significant decrease in expression levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in females that underwent gut microbiota depletion [39]. There were no significant differences in males that underwent depletion suggesting that the gut microbiota has a stronger influence on the immune phenotype in female mice.

Furthermore, microbiota transfer studies resulted in significantly slower SLE progression and reduced proteinuria when transferred from male to female mice. Mildly accelerated disease progression and increased proteinuria were noted after transfer from females to males. As a whole, these findings provide further evidence of the influence of the gut microbiota in SLE disease activity in male mice.

In addition to differences in gut microbiota composition, there may also be differences in immunoglobulin and antibody presence in the gut. Studies have shown higher levels of IgA in stool samples from SLE patients when compared to healthy controls [40]. IgA class anti-DNA antibodies have also been found in patients with SLE thus suggesting that these autoantibodies may originate from gut primed B cells [41-45]. Further analysis found that these IgA antibodies were higher in stool samples from lupus-prone mice. Moreover, IgA levels in female lupus-prone mice were particularly elevated and displayed significant reactivity towards nucleohistone and dsDNA nuclear antigens [44]. In the context of previous studies, these findings suggest that IgA production by the gut mucosa may contribute to the sex differences seen in SLE.

Treatment Considerations

Despite significant differences in the clinical presentation and disease course of SLE between females and males, there are generally few sex-specific differences in treatment of the disease. A recent longitudinal study tracked male and female patients with SLE for 20 years to determine whether there are differences in choice of treatment between males and females. Researchers found that mycophenolate mofetil was more frequently used in males than females (63% vs 36%, $p=0.005$) while antimalarials were less frequently prescribed to male patients (87% vs 97%, $p=0.02$) [46]. The authors suggested that mycophenolate mofetil may be more frequently prescribed to males because of increased rates of renal and neurological involvement in males. These differences in treatment, however, don't appear to relate to considerations of sex-specific differences in the biology of the disease.

In general, the most notable sex-specific treatment consideration is drug choice in female patients of childbearing age. Frequently used medications such as cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and mycophenolate mofetil raise concerns for pregnancy complications, fetal abnormalities, and fetal loss. Optimal medical treatment of females of reproductive age requires early conversations about contraception, preconception planning, and pregnancy risk.

Conclusion

Our understanding of the biological mechanisms that underlie sex-related differences in SLE continues to expand with new insights into the expression of TLRs, regulation of miRNAs, and composition of the gut microbiota. Further study of these mechanisms and their interplay is essential to improving the lives of SLE patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Jill Buyon, Michael Pillinger, Peter Izmirly, Rebecca Cohen, and Ibraheem Mirza for their assistance in reviewing the manuscript.

References

1. Pons-Estel GJ, Alarcon GS, Scofield L, Reinlib L, Cooper GS. Understanding the epidemiology and progression of systemic

lupus erythematosus. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2010;39(4):257-68.

2. Izmirly PM, Parton H, Wang L, McCune WJ, Lim SS, Drenkard C, et al. Prevalence of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus in the United States: Estimates From a Meta-Analysis of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Lupus Registries. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2021;73(6):991-6.
3. Somers EC, Marder W, Cagnoli P, Lewis EE, DeGuire P, Gordon C, et al. Population-based incidence and prevalence of systemic lupus erythematosus: the Michigan Lupus Epidemiology and Surveillance program. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2014;66(2):369-78.
4. Dall'Era M, Cisternas MG, Snipes K, Herrinton LJ, Gordon C, Helmick CG. The Incidence and Prevalence of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus in San Francisco County, California: The California Lupus Surveillance Project. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2017;69(10):1996-2005.
5. Ramirez Sepulveda JI, Bolin K, Mofors J, Leonard D, Svenungsson E, Jonsen A, et al. Sex differences in clinical presentation of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Biol Sex Differ.* 2019;10(1):60.
6. Nusbaum JS, Mirza I, Shum J, Freilich RW, Cohen RE, Pillinger MH, et al. Sex Differences in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Epidemiology, Clinical Considerations, and Disease Pathogenesis. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(2):384-94.
7. Rahman AH, Eisenberg RA. The role of toll-like receptors in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Springer Semin Immunopathol.* 2006;28(2):131-43.
8. Muskardin TLW, Niewold TB. Type I interferon in rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* 2018;14(4):214-28.
9. Souyris M, Cenac C, Azar P, Daviaud D, Canivet A, Grunenwald S, et al. TLR7 escapes X chromosome inactivation in immune cells. *Sci Immunol.* 2018;3(19).
10. Souyris M, Mejia JE, Chaumeil J, Guery JC. Female predisposition to TLR7-driven autoimmunity: gene dosage and the escape from X chromosome inactivation. *Semin Immunopathol.* 2019;41(2):153-64.
11. Hsieh CS, deRoos P, Honey K, Beers C, Rudensky AY. A role for cathepsin L and cathepsin S in peptide generation for MHC class II presentation. *J Immunol.* 2002;168(6):2618-25.
12. Riese RJ, Mitchell RN, Villadangos JA, Shi GP, Palmer JT, Karp ER, et al. Cathepsin S activity regulates antigen presentation and immunity. *J Clin Invest.* 1998;101(11):2351-63.
13. Stoeckle C, Quecke P, Ruckrich T, Burster T, Reich M, Weber E, et al. Cathepsin S dominates autoantigen processing in human thymic dendritic cells. *J Autoimmun.* 2012;38(4):332-43.
14. Kim SJ, Schatzle S, Ahmed SS, Haap W, Jang SH, Gregersen PK, et al. Increased cathepsin S in Prdm1(-/-) dendritic cells alters the TFH cell repertoire and contributes to lupus. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(9):1016-24.
15. Lee J, Jang S, Choi M, Kang M, Lim SG, Kim SY, et al. Overexpression of cathepsin S exacerbates lupus pathogenesis through upregulation TLR7 and IFN-alpha in transgenic mice. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):16348.
16. Brown GJ, Canete PF, Wang H, Medhavy A, Bones J, Roco JA, et al. TLR7 gain-of-function genetic variation causes human lupus. *Nature.* 2022;605(7909):349-56.
17. Morand EF, Furie R, Tanaka Y, Bruce IN, Askanase AD, Richez C, et al. Trial of Anifrolumab in Active Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(3):211-21.
18. Vital EM, Merrill JT, Morand EF, Furie RA, Bruce IN, Tanaka Y, et

- al. Anifrolumab efficacy and safety by type I interferon gene signature and clinical subgroups in patients with SLE: post hoc analysis of pooled data from two phase III trials. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2022;81(7):951-61.
19. Xiao C, Rajewsky K. MicroRNA control in the immune system: basic principles. *Cell.* 2009;136(1):26-36.
20. Dai R, Phillips RA, Zhang Y, Khan D, Crasta O, Ahmed SA. Suppression of LPS-induced Interferon-gamma and nitric oxide in splenic lymphocytes by select estrogen-regulated microRNAs: a novel mechanism of immune modulation. *Blood.* 2008;112(12):4591-7.
21. Klinge CM. Estrogen Regulation of MicroRNA Expression. *Curr Genomics.* 2009;10(3):169-83.
22. Paris O, Ferraro L, Grober OM, Ravo M, De Filippo MR, Giurato G, et al. Direct regulation of microRNA biogenesis and expression by estrogen receptor beta in hormone-responsive breast cancer. *Oncogene.* 2012;31(38):4196-206.
23. Cohen A, Smith Y. Estrogen regulation of microRNAs, target genes, and microRNA expression associated with vitellogenesis in the zebrafish. *Zebrafish.* 2014;11(5):462-78.
24. Singh RP, Hahn BH, Bischoff DS. Identification and Contribution of Inflammation-Induced Novel MicroRNA in the Pathogenesis of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13:848149.
25. Abdul-Maksoud RS, Rashad NM, Elsayed WSH, Ali MA, Kamal NM, Zidan HE. Circulating miR-181a and miR-223 expression with the potential value of biomarkers for the diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus and predicting lupus nephritis. *J Gene Med.* 2021;23(5):e3326.
26. Tan L, Zhao M, Wu H, Zhang Y, Tong X, Gao L, et al. Downregulated Serum Exosomal miR-451a Expression Correlates With Renal Damage and Its Intercellular Communication Role in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:630112.
27. Eissa E, Morcos B, Abdelkawy RFM, Ahmed HH, Kholoussi NM. Association of microRNA-125a with the clinical features, disease activity and inflammatory cytokines of juvenile-onset lupus patients. *Lupus.* 2021;30(7):1180-7.
28. Zheng X, Zhang Y, Yue P, Liu L, Wang C, Zhou K, et al. Diagnostic significance of circulating miRNAs in systemic lupus erythematosus. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(6):e0217523.
29. Kumarswamy R, Volkmann I, Thum T. Regulation and function of miRNA-21 in health and disease. *RNA Biol.* 2011;8(5):706-13.
30. Hooper LV, Midtvedt T, Gordon JI. How host-microbial interactions shape the nutrient environment of the mammalian intestine. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2002;22:283-307.
31. Yoo JY, Groer M, Dutra SVO, Sarkar A, McSkimming DI. Gut Microbiota and Immune System Interactions. *Microorganisms.* 2020;8(10).
32. Alexander KL, Targan SR, Elson CO, 3rd. Microbiota activation and regulation of innate and adaptive immunity. *Immunol Rev.* 2014;260(1):206-20.
33. Choi SC, Brown J, Gong M, Ge Y, Zadeh M, Li W, et al. Gut microbiota dysbiosis and altered tryptophan catabolism contribute to autoimmunity in lupus-susceptible mice. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12(551).
34. Wang Y, Wei J, Zhang W, Doherty M, Zhang Y, Xie H, et al. Gut dysbiosis in rheumatic diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 92 observational studies. *EBioMedicine.* 2022;80:104055.
35. Yurkovetskiy L, Burrows M, Khan AA, Graham L, Volchkov P, Becker L, et al. Gender bias in autoimmunity is influenced by microbiota. *Immunity.* 2013;39(2):400-12.
36. Christou EAA, Banos A, Kosmara D, Bertias GK, Boumpas DT. Sexual dimorphism in SLE: above and beyond sex hormones. *Lupus.* 2019;28(1):3-10.
37. Zhang H, Liao X, Sparks JB, Luo XM. Dynamics of gut microbiota in autoimmune lupus. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2014;80(24):7551-60.
38. Markle JG, Frank DN, Mortin-Toth S, Robertson CE, Feazel LM, Rolle-Kampczyk U, et al. Sex differences in the gut microbiome drive hormone-dependent regulation of autoimmunity. *Science.* 2013;339(6123):1084-8.
39. Johnson BM, Gaudreau MC, Gudi R, Brown R, Gilkeson G, Vasu C. Gut microbiota differently contributes to intestinal immune phenotype and systemic autoimmune progression in female and male lupus-prone mice. *J Autoimmun.* 2020;108:102420.
40. Azzouz D, Omarbekova A, Heguy A, Schwudke D, Gisch N, Rovin BH, et al. Lupus nephritis is linked to disease-activity associated expansions and immunity to a gut commensal. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2019;78(7):947-56.
41. Gripenberg M, Helve T. Anti-DNA antibodies of IgA class in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatol Int.* 1986;6(2):53-5.
42. Jost SA, Tseng LC, Matthews LA, Vasquez R, Zhang S, Yancey KB, et al. IgG, IgM, and IgA antinuclear antibodies in discoid and systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *ScientificWorldJournal.* 2014;2014:171028.
43. Lee AYS. IgA anti-dsDNA antibodies: A neglected serological parameter in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus.* 2022;31(2):137-42.
44. Sun W, Gudi RR, Johnson BM, Vasu C. Abundance and nuclear antigen reactivity of intestinal and fecal Immunoglobulin A in lupus-prone mice at younger ages correlate with the onset of eventual systemic autoimmunity. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):14258.
45. Witte T, Hartung K, Matthias T, Sachse C, Fricke M, Deicher H, et al. Association of IgA anti-dsDNA antibodies with vasculitis and disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. *SLE Study Group. Rheumatol Int.* 1998;18(2):63-9.
46. Fredi M, Tomasoni F, Andreoli L, et al. FRI0235 Differences between Male and Female Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Single Center Experience over 20 Years of Follow-Up. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2019;78:797-798.