

Apheresis methods in COVID-19 era: What about Long COVID?

Zikou Xanthi¹, Polychronidou Vasiliki¹, Derveni Vaia¹, Aloizos Stavros^{1,*}

¹ICU at Army Share Fund Hospital, Athens, Greece

*Author for correspondence:
Email: saloizos@yahoo.com

Received date: May 30, 2023
Accepted date: June 15, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Xanthi Z, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

COVID-19 disease is a contagious disease whose severity of symptomatology is probably due to uncontrollable systemic hyperinflammation called cytokine storm syndrome (CSS). The worst clinical manifestation is respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) which is correlated with multiorgan failure and high mortality. Currently there is no effective therapy especially for critically ill patients. Several studies have focused on whether apheresis methods could attenuate the inflammatory response and improve the clinical course; however, the results are controversial. Furthermore, as the pandemic is evolving to less virulent variants with reduced mortality rate, there exists an increasing number of Long COVID patients. Extracorporeal apheresis methods could be a therapeutic option. Randomized clinical trials are required to accurately assess the effects of apheresis methods especially in Long COVID patients.

Keywords: Apheresis, COVID-19, Hyperinflammation, Long COVID

Short Communication

COVID-19 disease caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, emerged in Wuhan, China, and has spread worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), to date more than 750 million people have been infected with SARS-CoV2 and almost 7 million patients have died. The disease has a wide spectrum of symptoms from mild to life threatening conditions. Strong evidence has shown that the severity of the disease is due to high levels of circulating inflammatory mediators including cytokines and chemokines, leading to a condition of dysregulated innate immune response and uncontrollable systemic hyperinflammation called cytokine storm syndrome (CSS) [1]. Several studies have shown elevated cytokine levels, particularly in COVID-19 patients requiring ICU treatment [2]. Especially for IL-6, it has been demonstrated the need for mechanical ventilation, a potential thrombotic state with a poor outcome [3]. The syndrome is also characterized by hypercoagulable state with microvascular thrombosis and clot formation features and endothelial dysfunction [1]. The worst clinical manifestation of the syndrome is respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) which is correlated with multiorgan failure and a high mortality [4].

The eradication of the virus for the time being is practically impossible, however, the wide use of COVID-19 vaccines from December 2020, has reduced the incidence, hospitalization, and mortality from the disease [5]. Currently there is no effective treatment strategy, particularly for patients with a critical illness. According to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for COVID-19, antiviral medications such as Ritonvir boosted with nirmatrelvir, and Remdesivir are recommended as treatment for non-hospitalized patients, with the latter pharmaceutical being the only antiviral drug approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Regarding monoclonal antibodies, casirivimab plus imdevimab or bamlanivimab plus etesevimab are recommended for outpatients with mild to moderate disease; however, they are not currently authorized for use in the United States because the dominant Omicron subvariants are not expected to be susceptible to these medicines. For hospitalized adults with progressive severe or critical COVID-19 disease with systemic inflammation, combined therapy with Remdesivir, dexamethasone, and immunomodulatory therapy such as tocilizumab or baricitinib is recommended.

Citation: Xanthi Z, Vasiliki P, Vaia D, Stavros A. Apheresis methods in COVID-19 era: What about Long COVID? J Exp Nephrol. 2023;4(1):6-9.

In this context, several trials have focused on whether extracorporeal blood purification treatments could attenuate the inflammatory response and improve the clinical course and the outcome of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Different methods of extracorporeal treatment as Therapeutic Plasma Exchange (TPE) and hemoadsorption have been used; however, their role remains still controversial [6]. This is due to the fact that the evidence available so far comes from single-center reports and case series. In addition, there is a heterogeneity of data that can be attributed to differences either in technical matters like cartridges and replacement solutions, or modality prescription like frequency and length of session [6]. Furthermore, it should be noted that currently the most relevant variant is Omicron that causes less severe symptoms and fewer admissions to hospital and especially to intensive care units [7]. This creates difficulties in designing and implementing large randomized studies in the future.

Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) was one of the first methods studied as a rescue therapy in severe ARDS due to COVID-19, demonstrating better survival, reduced hospitalization rate and duration on mechanical ventilation, and improved cytokine and coagulation marker levels immediately after the procedure [8-13]. The main rationale is that TPE has a cutoff of 1.000 kDa which can remove easily inflammatory proteins, such as IL-6 (21 kDa) and CRP (120 kDa) [14]. However, the opposite opinion claims that the reduced levels of inflammatory proteins are simply an artificial reduction and is not necessarily associated with an amelioration of the septic status of the patient [15]. Furthermore, TPE could be potentially harmful by removing protective autoantibodies, immunoglobulins, and complement 3 and 4, attenuating the immune response against the infection. The removal of medicines received for treatment of Coronavirus like tocilizumab, prednisolone, and remdesivir may also be deleterious [15].

Hemoperfusion methods through different hemoadsorbents are widely used for critically ill COVID-19 patients. The most common device is Cytosorb whose emergency use was approved by the FDA since April 2020 and is included in the Italian guidelines [7,16]. It is a highly porous biocompatible polymer that can bind and remove from the blood hydrophobic substances with molecular weight of up to 55 kDa, like cytokines and other inflammatory mediators, without affecting larger beneficial substances like albumin, immunoglobulin, and coagulator factors. Differently, hydrophobic drugs may be removed by the device based on their molecular weight [17]. However, tocilizumab is not expected to be removed due to its large molecular weight (148 kDa) [16]. An important advantage of the method is its ability to install either as stand-alone hemoperfusion method, or integrated with another extracorporeal circuit as continuous replacement therapy (CRRT) or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), unlike Hemoperfusion HA330 whose compatibility with other methods is limited [7,17]. On the other hand, it is twice as expensive as other hemoadsorbents like HA330 cartridge [18]. Even if Cytosorb is considered the most clinically recommended apheresis method [19], data from randomized trials have shown that it was not associated with better survival [20] and had even higher mortality when used in combination with ECMO [21].

In addition to the aforementioned hemoperfusion methods, Seraph-100 Microbind Affinity Blood Filter column (Seraph 100) has been successfully used during the pandemic; however, to a lesser

degree [7]. It received Emergency Use Authorization by FDA for the treatment of COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory failure that were admitted to an ICU. This filter differs from the other absorption devices because it is designed to remove various pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, fungi from the blood [22]. Its functional basis is an adsorption substrate of polyethylene beads coated with immobilized heparin where various pathogens have been shown to bind irreversibly and thereby removed from the bloodstream [23]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that Seraph 100 removes the nucleocapsid protein of Sars-CoV-2 in critically ill COVID-19 patients [24]. This is an important advantage of the method because there is strong evidence that existence of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in the blood is related to disease severity and is a significant risk factor for mortality, ICU admission, invasive mechanical ventilation and multiorgan failure [25,26]. Jacobs et al. demonstrated that viral RNA was present in the plasma of 100% of ICU COVID-19 patients and to a lesser extent in non-ICU hospitalized patients (52.6%) and outpatients (11%). Although viral RNA levels decreased over time among survivors, they have continued to be high among non survivors [27]. It is believed that SARS-CoV-2 viremia may contribute to worse outcome by allowing broad metastasis of viral invasion into non pulmonary organs [22]. Data from case reports [28] and a registry of 82 patients treated with Seraph 100 [23] demonstrated that it is a safe and well tolerated method, associated with lower mortality when initiated early after admission to a ICU. In addition, it seems that the filter does not bind to drugs [29]. On the contrary, Chitty et al., in a retrospective cohort study, failed to demonstrate survival benefit [22]. Randomized prospective studies could possibly evaluate the benefit of Seraph 100 in critical COVID-19 illness.

Four years after the outbreak of the pandemic, the medical community is faced with the Long COVID or post- COVID syndrome (PCS). It is estimated that 5 to 21% of patients develop PCS after recovery from acute infection [30], presenting a variety of nonspecific symptoms including fatigue, shortness of breath, cognitive impairment, sleep disturbance, myalgia, and neuropathy [31]. There is strong evidence suggesting a pathophysiological correlation between PCS and Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (ME-CFS) [32]. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain PCS, as reduced tissue perfusion, viral infiltration of tissues, ongoing inflammation, persistence of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins, microvascular blood clotting with endothelial dysfunction and reactivation of other infectious agents including Epstein-Barr Virus and CMV [33-35]. Furthermore, there is strong evidence that a great variety of autoantibodies play a crucial role in severe forms of COVID-19 disease and in PCS [36,37]. Additionally, Long COVID correlates with elevated lipid levels, causing long term risk for cardiovascular disease [33]. The management of patients diagnosed with PCS focuses on symptomatic therapy and psychiatric support, although there is evidence that propose EBP treatments, especially absorption methods, as an alternative therapeutic option [38]. Their advantage in relation to specific medication is its ability to remove a broad range of toxins, inflammatory proteins, lipids, and autoantibodies from human blood [33,39]. Achleitner et al., demonstrated a significant reduction of neurotransmitter autoantibodies, lipids, fibrinogen and inflammatory markers, with concomitant clinical improvement in 27 Long COVID patients following two cycles of therapeutic apheresis [33]. Currently, data are scarce and there is need for large randomized controlled trials. However, the design of a large scale research study seems to

be difficult given the heterogeneity of clinical symptoms and the complexity of the pathophysiological mechanisms of the syndrome. Individualized trials with different treatment protocols for patients with severe symptoms have been proposed as an adjuvant alternative [33].

In conclusion, during the pandemic, several extracorporeal apheresis methods have been proposed as safe and efficient adjuvant treatment in severe forms of the COVID-19 disease. However, there is not enough clinical data to fully understand their role in the survival and the outcome of COVID-19 patients. This is due to lack of large randomized trials. In addition, we have to take into account that as these treatments are costly and require special equipment and highly skilled staff, they have low availability. Therefore, weighing costs and benefit and making selection of the appropriate patient and modality is of paramount importance. Furthermore, as the pandemic is evolving to less virulent variants with reduced mortality rate and to an increasing number of Long COVID patients, extracorporeal apheresis methods might be a therapeutic option for PCS. Randomized clinical trials are required to accurately assess the effects of extracorporeal apheresis methods especially in Long COVID patients.

References

1. Zanza C, Romenskaya T, Manetti AC, Franceschi F, La Russa R, Bertozzi G, et al. Cytokine storm in COVID-19: immunopathogenesis and therapy. *Medicina*. 2022 Feb;58(2):144.
2. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*. 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):497-506.
3. Santa Cruz A, Mendes-Frias A, Oliveira AI, Dias L, Matos AR, Carvalho A, et al. Interleukin-6 is a biomarker for the development of fatal severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pneumonia. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021 Feb 18;12:613422.
4. Hojyo S, Uchida M, Tanaka K, Hasebe R, Tanaka Y, Murakami M, et al. How COVID-19 induces cytokine storm with high mortality. *Inflammation and Regeneration*. 2020 Dec;40:1-7.
5. Rahmani K, Shavaleh R, Forouhi M, Disfani HF, Kamandi M, Oskooi RK, et al. The effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in reducing the incidence, hospitalization, and mortality from COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2022 Aug 26;10:2738.
6. Dianaty S, Khodadadi S, Alimoghaddam R, Mirzaei A. Comparison of outcomes and costs of extracorporeal blood purification therapies in critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis*. 2023 Jun;27(3):505-516.
7. Lezhnina A, Lem V, Blatt N. Application of Extracorporeal Apheresis in Treatment of COVID-19: a Rapid Review. *Bionanoscience*. 2022 Sep;12(3):979-93.
8. Zhang L, Zhai H, Ma S, Chen J, Gao Y. Efficacy of therapeutic plasma exchange in severe COVID-19 patients. *British Journal of Haematology*. 2020 Aug;190(4):e181.
9. Morath C, Weigand MA, Zeier M, Speer C, Tiwari-Heckler S, Merle U. Plasma exchange in critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Critical Care*. 2020 Dec;24:1-4.
10. Keith P, Day M, Perkins L, Moyer L, Hewitt K, Wells A. A novel treatment approach to the novel coronavirus: an argument for the use of therapeutic plasma exchange for fulminant COVID-19. *Critical Care*. 2020 Dec;24(1):1-3.
11. Kamran SM, Mirza ZE, Naseem A, Liaqat J, Fazal I, Alamgir W, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange for coronavirus disease-2019 triggered cytokine release syndrome: a retrospective propensity matched control study. *PLoS One*. 2021 Jan 7;16(1):e0244853.
12. Faqih F, Alharthy A, Abdulaziz S, Balhamar A, Alomari A, AlAseri Z, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange in patients with life-threatening COVID-19: a randomised controlled clinical trial. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2021 May 1;57(5):106334.
13. Prakash S, Sahu A, Routray SS, Maiti R, Mitra JK, Mukherjee S. Efficacy of therapeutic plasma exchange in severe COVID-19 disease: A meta-analysis. *Vox Sanguinis*. 2023 Jan;118(1):49-58.
14. Memish ZA, Faqih F, Alharthy A, Alqahtani SA, Karakitsos D. Plasma exchange in the treatment of complex COVID-19-related critical illness: controversies and perspectives. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2021 Feb 1;57(2):106273.
15. Honore PM, Barreto Gutierrez L, Kugener L, Redant S, Attou R, Gallerani A, et al. Plasma exchange in critically ill COVID-19 patients improved inflammation, microcirculatory clot formation, and hypotension, thereby improving clinical outcomes: fact or fiction?. *Critical Care*. 2020 Dec;24:1-2.
16. FDA. Cytosorb 300ml Device Approved by the FDA for Emergency Treatment of COVID-19, FDA, Silver Spring, MD, USA, 2020.
17. Ruiz-Rodríguez JC, Molnar Z, Deliargyris EN, Ferrer R. The use of CytoSorb therapy in critically ill COVID-19 patients: review of the rationale and current clinical experiences. *Critical Care Research and Practice*. 2021 Oct;2021.
18. NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2020). Cytokine adsorption devices for treating respiratory failure in people with COVID-19. Medtech innovation briefing.
19. Krenn CG, Steltzer H. Hemoadsorption for blood purification—Incomparability of clinically available procedures. *Medizinische Klinik-Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin*. 2021 Jun;116:449-53.
20. Stockmann H, Thelen P, Stroben F, Pigorsch M, Keller T, Krannich A, Spies C, Treskatsch S, Ocken M, Kunz JV, Krüger A. CytoSorb rescue for COVID-19 patients with vasoplegic shock and multiple organ failure: A prospective, open-label, randomized controlled pilot study. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2022 Jun;50(6):964.
21. Supady A, Weber E, Rieder M, Lothar A, Niklaus T, Zahn T, et al. Cytokine adsorption in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (CYCOV): a single centre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2021 Jul 1;9(7):755-62.
22. Chitty SA, Mobbs S, Rifkin BS, Stogner SW, Lewis MS, Betancourt J, et al. A multicenter evaluation of the Seraph 100 microbind affinity blood filter for the treatment of severe COVID-19. *Critical Care Explorations*. 2022 Mar 25;4(4):e0662.
23. Schmidt JJ, Borchina DN, Van't Klooster M, Bulhan-Soki K, Okioma R, Herbst L, et al. Interim analysis of the COSA (COVID-19 patients treated with the Seraph® 100 Microbind® Affinity filter) registry. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*. 2022 Apr;37(4):673-80.
24. Kielstein JT, Borchina DN, Fühner T, Hwang S, Mattoon D, Ball AJ. Hemofiltration with the Seraph® 100 Microbind® Affinity filter decreases SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein in critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Critical Care*. 2021 Dec;25:1-4.
25. Bermejo-Martin JF, González-Rivera M, Almansa R, Micheloud D, Tedim AP, Domínguez-Gil M, et al. Viral RNA load in plasma is associated with critical illness and a dysregulated host response in COVID-19. *Critical Care*. 2020 Dec;24(1):1-3.

26. Tang K, Wu L, Luo Y, Gong B. Quantitative assessment of SARS-CoV-2 RNAemia and outcome in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2021 May;93(5):3165-75.
27. Jacobs JL, Bain W, Naqvi A, Staines B, Castanha PMS, Yang H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viremia is associated with COVID-19 severity and predicts clinical outcomes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2022 May 3;74(9):1525-1533.
28. Rifkin BS, Stewart IJ. Seraph-100 hemoperfusion in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients early in critical illness: a case series. *Blood Purification*. 2022;51(4):317-20.
29. Schmidt JJ, Eden G, Seffer MT, Winkler M, Kielstein JT. In vitro elimination of anti-infective drugs by the Seraph® 100 Microbind® affinity blood filter. *Clinical Kidney Journal*. 2020 Jun;13(3):421-4.
30. Giszcas B, Trommer S, Schüßler N, Rodewald A, Besteher B, Bleidorn J, et al. Post-COVID-19 condition is not only a question of persistent symptoms: Structured screening including health-related quality of life reveals two separate clusters of post-COVID. *Infection*. 2022 Jul 22:1-3.
31. Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A, et al. More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*. 2021 Aug 9;11(1):16144.
32. Giszcas B, Reuken PA, Katzer K, Kiehnopf M, Schmerler D, Rummeler S, Stallmach A, et al. Immunoabsorption to treat patients with severe post-COVID syndrome. *Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis*. 2023 Feb 16.
33. Achleitner M, Steenblock C, Dänhardt J, Jarzebska N, Kardashi R, Kanczkowski W, et al. Clinical improvement of Long-COVID is associated with reduction in autoantibodies, lipids, and inflammation following therapeutic apheresis. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2023 May 2:1-6.
34. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major findings, mechanisms and recommendations. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2023 Jan 13:1-4.
35. Raveendran AV, Jayadevan R, Sashidharan S. Long COVID: an overview. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*. 2021 May 1;15(3):869-75.
36. Khamsi R. Rogue antibodies could be driving severe COVID-19. *Nature*. 2021 Feb 1;590(7844):29-31.
37. Bornstein SR, Voit-Bak K, Donate T, Rodionov RN, Gainetdinov RR, Tselmin S, et al. Chronic post-COVID-19 syndrome and chronic fatigue syndrome: Is there a role for extracorporeal apheresis?. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2022 Jan;27(1):34-7.
38. Heitmann J, Kreutz J, Aldudak S, Schieffer E, Schieffer B, Schäfer AC. A practical approach for the treatment of post-COVID symptoms. *Herz*. 2023 Apr 23:1-4.
39. Gräßler J, Kopprasch S, Passauer J, Fischer S, Schuhmann K, Bergmann S, et al. Differential effects of lipoprotein apheresis by lipidfiltration or dextran sulfate adsorption on lipidomic profile. *Atherosclerosis Supplements*. 2013 Jan 1;14(1):151-5.