

# Commentary: Deconstructing the sexualization of menstruation—from societal myths to public health implications

Rehan Haider, Ph.D<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Riggs Pharmaceuticals Department of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Pakistan

\*Author for correspondence:  
Email: rehan\_haider64@yahoo.com

Received date: January 26, 2025  
Accepted date: February 24, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 Haider R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

Menstruation, an everyday organic process essential for duplication, has long been guide-friendly stigmas and impressions. The focus article, “The Sexualization of Menstruation: On Rape, Tampons, and ‘Prostitutes,’” exposes the disquieting socio-enlightening frameworks and fundamental issues that enhance the sexualization of period. This analysis further surveys the enlightening, intellectual, and community health dimensions of the issue while testing allure associations for feminist discourse and procedure.

## Historical Roots of Menstrual Stigma

The sexualization of the period has allure origins in fatherly buildings that historically wanted to control daughters’s corpses. In many ideas, the period has been (and frequently still is) doctored as a taboo, hidden in illusions of contamination and shame [1]. Such tales not only disengage menstruators but also maintain injurious stereotypes. By connecting the period accompanying passion, social norms strengthen the plan that female corpses are innately even to intercourse chance or morality [2]. This desire is further infuriated by publishing portrayals that deform or fumigate periods, frequently presenting menstrual fruit in habits that disconnect bureaucracy from their organic sensibility.

## Menstruation and Gender-Based Violence

The pertaining focus article climaxes the upsetting crossroads of menstrual shame and feminine-located intensity. Survivors of sexual intensity frequently face severe martyr-accusing when the period enhances a factor [3]. The uncomplimentary description of menstruators as “dirty” or “mixed” can cause phases of abuse, accompanying perpetrators misusing these stigmas to demean and control martyrs.

Moreover, while menstrual crops are displayed as finishes of authorization, they have again been weaponized against marginalized groups. Women in vulnerable environments—in the way that prisons, foreign camps, or poor societies—frequently lack an approach to elementary menstrual hygiene, infuriating their disempowerment [4]. The focus item’s analysis of tampons as agents of fatherly control offers a new perspective on using what commodification intersects accompanying grammatical rules applying to nouns that connote sex or animateness prejudice.

## Social Construction of Menstruation as Sexualized

The sexualization of the period is intensely entrenched in societal discomfort accompanying bodily independence. In many breedings, menstrual instruction is either missing or permeated accompanying misinformation [5]. This silence promotes shame and bolsters fables, to a degree the

faith that menstruating things are “dirty” or “hormonal,” reinforcing stereotypes of emotional disorder and unreason [2].

Sexualization again intersects with monetary interests. Marketing campaigns frequently engage euphemistic accents and analogies that frame period as an entity expected secret, regulated, or made more “desirable” [3]. This commodification marginalizes things the one do disturb the status quo to these principles, specifically those from economically underprivileged training.

### Implications for Feminist and Public Health Advocacy

Addressing the sexualization of menstruation demands an integrative approach that integrates feminist beliefs accompanying community health procedures. Feminist philosophers argue that demolishing menstrual shame makes it necessary to redefine period as a well-being and civil rights issue alternatively a moral or aesthetic concern [1].

Public health campaigns must challenge hurtful tales by stressing the usualness of the period [4]. Governments and NGOs conspire to ensure the approach to free or inexpensive menstrual fruit, specifically in underserved societies. Scotland’s “Period Products Act,” which guarantees free menstrual crops for all, serves as a model for systemic change [5].

### Conclusion

The sexualization of the period is not simply an enlightening issue but an integral question accompanying deep implications

for feminine balance and community health. By disclosing the links between menstrual shame, masculine-based intensity, and commodification, the focus item creates a detracting offering to this discourse. This analysis calls on scholars, policymakers, and activists to challenge hurtful menstrual tales and advocate for pushes that advance bodily independence, egalitarianism, and excellence [2]. Only through collective exertions can we demolish stigmas and form a realm where the place period is acknowledged for what it is: an unaffected and essential facet of any branch of natural science.

### References

1. Bobel C. *The Managed Body: Developing Girls and Menstrual Health in the Global South*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
2. Johnston-Robledo I, Chrisler JC. The menstrual mark: Menstruation as public shame. In: Bobel C, Winkler IT, Fahs B, Hasson KA, Kissling EA, Roberts TA, editors. *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Menstruation Studies* [Internet]. Singapore: Palgrave Macmillan; 2020.
3. Fahs B. “Coming to Power”: Women’s happenings of body fur evacuation and the normalization of a gendered advantage practice. *Sexuality & Culture*. 2014;18(1): 61-76.
4. Houppert K. *The curse: confronting the last unmentionable taboo: menstruation*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux; 1999.
5. Truck Eijk AM, Sivakami M, Thakkar MB, et al. Menstrual cleanliness administration in developing countries: A review of the history. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*. 2016;24(1):74-86.